Sherwood Forest Hospitals **NHS**

Board of Directors

Meeting

Report

Subject:	Quarterly Quality & Safety Report	
Date:	Thursday 30 th July 2015	
Authors	Andrew Haynes / Susan Bowler / Victoria Bagshaw	
Lead Director:	Susan Bowler – Executive Director of Nursing & Quality	
	Dr Andrew Haynes – Executive Medical Director	

Executive Summary

Within the 2015/16 Quality Account, the Trust set itself a number of key Quality and Safety targets which had also been translated from our Patient Quality and Safety Strategy. This report gives an assessment and future plans against those priorities.

Quality Priority 1: Dr Foster have just released updated data to include March 2015. This shows an overall HSMR of 114 for the period April 2014 to March 2015. However, this figure may change as there are still statistical analyses to be applied by Dr Foster before the year's HSMR figure is finalised. A joint Mortality Action Plan has been developed between Sherwood Forest Hospital Trust and the Mid-Nottinghamshire CCGs. Our aim is to achieve a sustained HSMR at, or below 100. The plan sets out in detail a number of clinical and administrative areas where work is focussed; sepsis, pneumonia, pathways of care such as acute kidney injury, end of life care including ceilings of care and supporting documentation.

Quality Priority 2: Our priority is to improve the management of sepsis and reduce sepsis related mortality. An audit of patients with Bacteraemia and Sepsis is carried out monthly. In addition to the Trust Sepsis group, a Task & Finish group has been set up (with Executive chair) to review and monitor in Sepsis screening and compliance with the Sepsis pathway. We have given an update on our current actions to the CQC

Quality Priority 3: The falls priority is to reduce the number of patients falling and reduce the number of fractures sustained following a fall. The average inpatient fall rate for Quarter 1(April-June) is calculated as 7.95%. and slightly above trajectory. The focus of the falls work programme is to work with the Nursing teams to understand the perceived barriers that prevent the outcome of risk assessment being transacted into practice.

Clostridium Difficile remains high on the agenda and a comprehensive action plan is in place with clear, measurable goals. A meeting has taken place to discuss future management across the whole health economy, identifying triggers and practice issues. Delegates from the Infection Prevention and Control Committee visited Royal United Bath Hospitals in June to review and learn from their systems. The risk register has been updated to include End of Life risks

We have external expertise working with us to help develop and strengthen our safeguarding resource.

We continue to deliver 'harm free care' as measured by the safety thermometer, with > 96% of our patients receiving harm free care.

Recommendation

The Trust Board is asked to discuss the contents of this report and note the improvements that are being made in relation to a number of quality priorities, however to be aware there are still areas that are receiving focused attention to ensure improvements are maintained and driven further

Relevant Strategic Objectives (please mark in bold)		
Achieve the best patient experience	Achieve financial sustainability	
Improve patient safety and provide high	Build successful relationships with external	
quality care	organisations and regulators	
Attract, develop and motivate effective teams		

Links to the BAF and Corporate	BAF 1,2 & 4
Risk Register	Mortality on corporate risk register
Details of additional risks	Failure to meet the Monitor regulatory requirements for
associated with this paper (may	governance- remain in significant breach.
include CQC Essential Standards,	Risk of being assessed as non-compliant against the
NHSLA, NHS Constitution)	CQC essential standards of Quality and Safety
Links to NHS Constitution	Principle 2, 3, 4 & 7
Financial Implications/Impact	Potential contractual penalties for failure to deliver the
	quality schedule
Legal Implications/Impact	Reputational implications of delivering sub-standard
	safety and care
Partnership working & Public	This paper will be shared with the CCG Performance
Engagement Implications/Impact	and Quality Group.
Committees/groups where this	A number of specific items have been discussed;
item has been presented before	Clinical Governance & Quality Committee, Falls
	Steering Group and Mortality Group
Monitoring and Review	Monitoring via the quality contract, CCG Performance
	and Quality Committee & internal processes
Is a QIA required/been	No
completed? If yes provide brief	
details	