



Board of Directors Meeting in Public - Cover Sheet

| Subje | ect: | Integrated Perfo | rmance Report – Q | Date: 1st Februa | Date: 1st February 2024 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Prepa | red By: | Domain leads and Neil Wilkinson, Risk & Assurance Manager | | | | | | | | | |
| Appro | oved By: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prese | ented By: | Paul Robinson, Chief Executive | | | | | | | | | |
| Purpose | | | | | | | | | | | |
| To provide assurance to the Board regarding the Performance of the Approval | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trust as measured in the Integrated Performance Report Assurance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upda | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consider | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Strategic Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide | | Improve health | Empower and | То | Sustainable | Work | | | | | |
| outstanding | | and well-being | support our | continuously | use of | collaboratively | | | | | |
| | e in the | within our | people to be the | learn and | resources and | with partners in | | | | | |
| best place at | | communities | best they can be | improve | estate | the community | | | | | |
| the right time | | | | | | | | | | | |
| uic II | | | | | ., | | | | | | |
| | Χ | Х | X | Х | Х | X | | | | | |
| Princi | X ipal Risk | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 | X ipal Risk Significa | nt deterioration in | standards of safety | | X | X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 | X ipal Risk Significa Demand | nt deterioration in that overwhelms | standards of safety capacity | and care | X | X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 | X ipal Risk Significal Demand Critical s | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca | and care | X | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 | X ipal Risk Significal Demand Critical s Failure to | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo achieve the Trus | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg | and care pability | | X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 PR5 | X ipal Risk Significat Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo a achieve the Trus o initiate and imp | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg lement evidence-ba | and care pability y used Improvemen | t and innovation | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 | X ipal Risk Significan Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t Working | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo achieve the Trus to initiate and implemore closely with | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg | and care pability y used Improvemen | t and innovation | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 PR5 PR6 | X ipal Risk Significan Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t Working the requi | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo achieve the Trus o initiate and impl more closely with red benefits | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg lement evidence-ba | and care pability y used Improvemen | t and innovation | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 PR5 PR6 | X ipal Risk Significal Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t Working the requi Major dis | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo a achieve the Trus o initiate and implemore closely with red benefits gruptive incident | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg lement evidence-ba local health and ca | r and care pability y used Improvementire partners does | t and innovation not fully deliver | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 PR5 PR6 | X ipal Risk Significan Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t Working the requi Major dis Failure to | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo a achieve the Trus o initiate and implemore closely with red benefits gruptive incident | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg lement evidence-ba | r and care pability y used Improvementire partners does | t and innovation not fully deliver | X X X | | | | | |
| Princi PR1 PR2 PR3 PR4 PR5 PR6 | X Significan Demand Critical s Failure to Inability t Working the requi Major dis Failure to change | nt deterioration in that overwhelms hortage of workfo achieve the Trus o initiate and impl more closely with red benefits cruptive incident o deliver sustainal | standards of safety capacity rce capacity and ca st's financial strateg lement evidence-ba local health and ca | y and care pability y sed Improvemen are partners does e Trust's impact o | t and innovation not fully deliver | X X X | | | | | |

Executive Team - 24th January 2024

Acronyms

SOF - Single Operating Framework

Executive Summary

The Integrated Performance Report (IPR provides the Board with assurance regarding the performance of the Trust in respect of the performance Indicators allocated to four domains: Quality Care, People and Culture, Timely Care and Best Value Care.

This report is for Quarter 3 2023/24. The performance indicators identified on the report are marked as "met" or "not met" via a green tick and red cross, respectively. Further details, including trends and actions to improve, are provided for each standard that is not met.

Maintaining good performance against the key indicators contained in the report has been challenging for Trust during the quarter, and for the NHS as a whole. In this winter period urgent care demand has been at its highest ever levels and there have been extensive periods of disruptive Industrial Action. However, the Trust's performance compares favourably across the NHS in key areas of vacancy and sickness absence rates, emergency care access, ambulance turnaround times, cancer and diagnostics.

There are a total of 65 indicators reported on the Q3 IPR report (61 in Q2), of those 27 are rated as met (25 in Q2), and 38 are rated as not met (36 in Q2). These are reported by individual Domains as follows:





Quality Care

Of the total 16 indicators (15 in Q2), 11 are rated as met (10 in Q2) and 5 as not met for Quarter 3 (5 in Q2).

People and Culture

Of the total 11 indicators (11 in Q2), 5 are rated as met (6 in Q2) and 6 as not met for Quarter 3 (5 in Q2).

Timely Care

Of the total 33 indicators (30 in Q2), 8 are rated as met (9 in Q2) and 25 as not met for Quarter 3 (21 in Q2).

Best Value Care

Of the total 5 indicators (5 in Q2), 3 are rated as met (0 in Q2) and 2 as not met for Quarter 3 (5 in Q2).

| Domain | Total indicators | | Met | | Not met | |
|--------------------|------------------|----|-----|----|---------|----|
| Domain | Q3 | Q2 | Q3 | Q2 | Q3 | Q2 |
| Quality Care | 16 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| People and Culture | 11 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Timely Care | 33 | 30 | 8 | 9 | 25 | 21 |
| Best Value Care | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 65 | 61 | 27 | 25 | 38 | 36 |

Recommendation

• The Board of Directors to take assurance for the Performance of the Trust, against the background of the new quarter, including noting the periods of high demand and industrial action.





Appendix 1

