

The key elements of the BAF are:

- A description of each Principal (strategic) Risk, that forms the basis of the Trust's risk framework (with corresponding corporate and operational risks defined at a Trust-wide and service level)
- Risk ratings current (residual), tolerable and target levels
- Clear identification of primary strategic threats and opportunities that are considered likely to increase or reduce the Principal Risk, within which they are expected to materialise
- A statement of risk appetite for each threat and opportunity, to be defined by the Lead Committee on behalf of the Board (Averse = aim to avoid the risk entirely; Minimal = insistence on low risk options; Cautious = preference for low risk options; Open = prepared to accept a higher level of residual risk than usual, in pursuit of potential benefits)
- Key elements of the risk treatment strategy identified for each threat and opportunity, each assigned to an executive lead and individually rated by the lead committee for the level of assurance they can take that the strategy will be effective in treating the risk (see below for key)
- Sources of assurance incorporate the three lines of defence: (1) Management (those responsible for the area reported on); (2) Risk and compliance functions (internal but independent of the area reported on); and (3) Independent assurance (Internal audit and other external assurance providers)
- Clearly identified gaps in the primary control framework, with details of planned responses each assigned to a member of the Senior Leadership Team (SLT) with agreed timescales

Key to lead committee assurance ratings:



Green = Positive assurance: the Committee is satisfied that there is reliable evidence of the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy in addressing the threat or opportunity

- no gaps in assurance or control AND current exposure risk rating = target

OR

- gaps in control and assurance are being addressed



Amber = Inconclusive assurance: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to be able to make a judgement as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy



Red = Negative assurance: the Committee is satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy is not appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity

This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the Board in relation to each Principal Risk and also to identify any further action required to improve the management of those risks.

This BAF includes the following Principal Risks (PRs) to the Trust's strategic priorities:

Reference	Principal risk	Lead committee	Initial date of assessment	Last reviewed	Target risk score C x L	Previous risk score (at previous review/update) C x L	Current risk score
PR1	Significant deterioration in standards of safety and care	Medical Director	01/04/2018	08/03/2021	4 x 2 = 8	4 x 5 = 20	4 x 5 = 20
PR2	Demand that overwhelms capacity	Chief Operating Officer	01/04/2018	08/03/2021	4 x 2 = 8	4 x 5 = 20	4 x 5 = 20
PR3	Critical shortage of workforce capacity and capability	Director of People	01/04/2018	27/04/2021	4 x 2 = 8	4 x 5 = 20	4 x 4 = 16
PR4	Failure to achieve the Trust's financial strategy	Chief Financial Officer	01/04/2018	27/04/2021	4 x 2 = 8	5 x 3 = 15	5 x 3 = 15
PR5	Inability to initiate and implement evidenced based improvement and innovation	Director of Culture & Improvement	17/03/2020	27/04/2021	3 x 2 = 6	3 x 3 = 9	3 x 3 = 9
PR6	Working more closely with local health and care partners does not fully deliver the required benefits	Chief Executive Officer	01/04/2020	13/04/2021	2 x 2 = 4	2 x 3 = 6	2 x 3 = 6
PR7	Major disruptive incident	Director of Corporate Affairs	01/04/2018	13/04/2021	4 x 1 = 4	4 x 3 = 12	4 x 2 = 8



Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	_	on in standards o	in standards of safe f safety and quality of pati mes	•		Strate	egic priority	1. To provide outstanding care				
Lead Committee	Quality	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Patient harm	25 ₂₀				
Executive lead	Medical Director	Consequence	4. High	4. High	4. High	Risk appetite	Minimal	15	—— Current ris			
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2018	Likelihood	5. Very likely	3. Possible	2. Unlikely			10 + 5 +			Tolerable risk	
Last reviewed	08/03/2021	Risk rating	20. Significant	12. High	8. Medium			0 +	-20 -20 -20	Aug-20 Sep-20 Oct-20 Nov-20 Jan-21 Feb-21	level	
Last changed	08/03/2021								Apr May Jun Jul	Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Feb		

Strategic threat	Primary risk controls	Gaps in control	Plans to improve	Sources of assurance (and date)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address	Assurance
(what might cause this to happen)	(what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	(Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	(Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	gaps and issues relating to COVID-19 (Insufficient evidence as to effectiveness of the controls or negative assurance)	rating
•	 Clinical service structures, accountability & quality governance arrangements at Trust, division & service levels including: Monthly meeting of Quality & Assurance Safety Cabinet (QASC) with work programme aligned to CQC registration regulations Advancing Quality Programme and AQP oversight 	Intranet currently contains some out of date clinical information that may still be accessible	Intranet documents review SLT Lead: Head of Communications Timescale: end March 2021	Management: Learning from deaths Report to QC and Board; Quarterly Strategic Priority Report to Board; Divisional risk reports to Risk Committee bi-annually; Guardian of Safe Working report to Board qrtly Quality and Governance Reporting Pathway; Quality Assurance and Safety Cabinet →Quality Committee		
harm, exposure to 'Never Events', higher than expected mortality, and significant reduction in patient satisfaction	group Nursing and Midwifery and AHP Business meeting Clinical policies, procedures, guidelines, pathways, supporting documentation & IT systems Clinical audit programme & monitoring arrangements Clinical staff recruitment, induction, mandatory training, registration & re-validation Defined safe medical & nurse staffing levels for all wards & departments (Nursing safeguards monitored by Chief Nurse) Ward assurance/ metrics and accreditation programme Nursing & Midwifery Strategy			Reports include: DPR Report to QASC monthly and QC bi-monthly QASC assurance report to QC bi-monthly Patient Safety Culture (PSC) programme EOLC Annual Report to QC Safeguarding Annual Report to QC CYPP report to QC quarterly Medical Education update report to QC Medicines Optimisation Annual Report to QC Senior Leadership Walkarounds weekly Outputs from internal reviews against External National Reports including; HSIB Thromboembolic Maternity Report (Oct 2020)	None	Positive
	 AHP Strategy Scoping and sign-off process for incidents and Sis 			- National Audit for Care of end of Life (Sep 2020) - Ockenden Report (Dec 2020) - Risk & compliance: Quality Dashboard and SOF to QASC Monthly; Quality Account Report Qtrly to QASC and QC; SI & Duty of Candour report to QASC monthly; CQC report to QC bi-monthly; Significant Risk Report to RC monthly Independent assurance: CQC Inspection Report 2020 CQC Insight tool to QPSC monthly; CQC Rating and oversight; IA (360) Transfer of Handover assurance report QC Sep '18; Antenatal & newborn screening peer review QC Nov '18; Sherwood Birthing Unit Audit to PSQG 2018, ICNARC Quarterly Report; SHOT report to QPSC		

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Underline
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Underline

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.19 cm, Hanging: 0.5 cm, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 10 pt, Underline, Font color: Black



Strategic threat	Primary risk controls	Gaps in control	Plans to improve	Sources of assurance (and date)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address	Assurance
what might cause this to happen)	(what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	(Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	(<u>Evidence</u> that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	gaps and issues relating to COVID-19 (Insufficient evidence as to effectiveness of the controls or negative assurance)	rating
				Tool to QPSC monthly and QC bi-monthly; GMC Feedback 2018;		
				NNAP Audit 2018; Care Quality Commission / External Regulation		
				Report to QC Mar '19; Medicines Optimisation Report to QC Mar '19;		
				Care Quality Commission / External Regulation Report to QC Mar '19;		
				Medicines Optimisation Report to QC Mar '19		
				Screening Quality Assurance Services assessments and reports of:		
				- Antenatal and New-born screening		
				 Breast Cancer Screening Services 		
				 Bowel Cancer Screening Services 		
				- Cervical Screening Services		
				External Accreditation/Regulation annual assessments and reports of:		
				- Pathology (UKAS)		
				- Endoscopy Services (JAG)		
				 Medical Equipment and Medical Devices (BSI) 		
				- Blood Transfusion Annual Compliance Report (MHRA)		
n outbreak of infectious	Infection prevention & control (IPC) programme	None	N/A	Management: Divisional reports to IPC Committee (every 6 weeks);	Learning from the impact on activity,	
isease (such as pandemic	Policies/ Procedures; Staff training; Environmental			IPC Annual Report to QC and Board; Water Safety Group;	patient safety and staffing due to	
nfluenza; Coronavirus;	cleaning audits			IPC BAF report to QASC and QC	COVID-19 wave 1	
orovirus; infections	 PFI arrangements for cleaning services 			Risk & compliance: IPC Committee report to QPSC qtrly; SOF		
esistant to antibiotics) that	 Root Cause Analysis and Root Cause Analysis Group 			Performance Report to Board monthly; IPC Clinical audits in IPCC	Constraints of critical care capacity and	
orces closure of one or	 Reports from Public Health England received and 			report to QPSC qtrly	PPE availability dependent on the size	
nore areas of the hospital	acted upon			Independent assurance: Internal audit plan; CQC Rating Good with	of future waves and restoration activity	Inconclusive
	 Infection control annual plan developed in line with 			Outstanding for Care Aug '18 May '20; PLACE Assessment and Scores		Inconclusive
	the Hygiene Code			Estates Governance bi-monthly; Public Health England attendance at	Business case to enhance oxygen	
	 Influenza and Covid vaccination programmes 			IPC Committee; Influenza vaccination cumulative number of staff	capacity/flow has been delivered –	
	 Public communications re: norovirus and infectious 			vaccinated; HSE visit Dec '20 – no concerns highlighted	awaiting further instruction from	
	diseases			IPC BAF Peer Review by Medway Trust	NHSE/I	
	 Coronavirus identification and management 			HSE External assessment and report		
	process			HSIB IPC assessment and report		

Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.19 cm, Hanging: 0.5 cm, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: Underline Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.19 cm, Hanging: 0.5 cm,
Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 10

pt, Font color: Black



Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	PR 2: Demand that ov Demand for services that ov care	•	•	oration in the quality,		Strategic priority 1. To provide outstanding care		
Lead Committee	Quality	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Patient harm	25
Executive lead	Chief Operating Officer	Consequence	4. High	4. High	4. High	Risk appetite	Minimal	20 ————————————————————————————————————
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2018	Likelihood	5. Very likely	4. Somewhat likely	2. Unlikely			10 Tolerable risk level
Last reviewed	08/03/2021	Risk rating	20. Significant	16. Significant	8. Medium			0 R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R
Last changed	08/03/2021							Apr-20 May-20 Jul-20 Aug-20 Oct-20 Dec-20 Jan-21 Mar-21

Last changed 08/03/	2021				4 5 7 4 8 0	2 1 , 1 2	
Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)		ses do we already have in place to assist us in se likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/ tolerance level)	Plans to improve control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gap and issues relating to COVID-19 (Insufficient evidence as to effectiveness of the controls or negative assurance)	Assurance rating
Threat: Growth in demand for care caused by an ageing population (forecast annual increase in emergency demand of 4-5% per annum); reduced social care funding and increased acuity leading to more admissions and longer length of stay, or a reduction in capacity to meet current and future demand due to the impact of COVID-19	 Single streaming process meetings with NEMs Trust and System escala Cancer Improvement pla Trust leadership of and a Patient pathway, some of linter-professional stand turnaround times such a Proactive system leader Together Alliance Delive Patient Flow Programmor SFH internal Winter cappilan Referral management system descondary care MSK pathways COVID-19 Incident plans 	an attendance at A&E Board of which are joint with NUH ards across the Trust to ensure as diagnostics are completed within 1 day ship engagement from SFH into Better bry Board eacity plan & Mid Notts system capacity assembly shared between primary and aning and governance process aintained during COVID-19	Robust delivery of the demand management schemes across the system	On-going discussions across ICS and specifically with NUH to describe future service delivery. Continued development of ICS clinical service strategy, Tomorrow's NUH Refresh NUH/SFH Exec to Exec forum 6-monthly progress updates to Board SLT Lead: Medical Director Timescale: end March 2021	Management: Performance management reporting arrangements between Divisions, Service Lines and Executive Team; Winter Plan to Board Oct '20; Exec to Exec meetings; Cancer 62 day improvement plan to Board; Planning documents for 19/20 to identify clear demand and capacity gaps/bridges; Identifying and capturing Potential Harm Resultant from COVID-19 Pandemic report to Board Jun '20; COVID-19 Recovery Plan to Board Sep '20; Elective Services Report to Recovery Committee monthly Risk & compliance: Divisional risk reports to Risk Committee bi-annually; Significant Risk Report to RC monthly; Single Oversight Framework Integrated Monthly Performance Report to Board; Incident Control Team governance structure to TMT Mar '20 Independent assurance: IA review of outpatient Demand and capacity modelling Jul '18; Regulatory Framework — Performance Standards (Emergency Readmissions Indicator) Follow-Up Sep '18; NHSI Intensive Support Team review of cancer processes May '20		Positive
Threat & Opportunity: Operational failure of Genera Practice to cope with demand resulting in even higher demand for secondary care as the 'provider of last resort'	operational failure of GeEngagement in Integrate leading role in Integrate	ed Care System (ICS), and assuming a d Care Provider development ng with the CCGs			Management: Routine mechanism for sharing of CCG and SFH risk registers – particularly with regard to risks for primary care staffing and demand Independent assurance: 'Drivers of demand' discussed at Board Aug '19	Lack of recent GP vacancy rates data received from Primary Care Pursue current GP vacancy data SLT Lead: COO Timescale: end January 2021March '21	Inconclusive
Threat & Opportunity: Drop in operational performance on neighbouring providers that creates a shift in the flow of patients and referrals to SFH	 leading role in Integrate Horizon scanning with n between relevant Execu 	eeting with the Service Director from	None	N/A	Risk and compliance: Divisional NUH/SFH strategic partnership forum minutes and action log; NUH service support to SFH paper to Executive Team	Lack of control over the flow of patients from the surrounding area	Inconclusive



200.0.7100													
Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	PR 3: Critical shortage of value A shortage of workforce capacity have an adverse impact on patien	and capability re	·		Strategic priority 3: To maximise the potential of our workforce								
Lead Committee	People, Culture & Improvement	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Services	25					
Executive lead	Executive Director of People	Consequence	4. High	4. High	4. High	Risk appetite	Cautious	15 — Current risk level					
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2018	Likelihood	5. Very likely 4. Somewhat likely	4. Somewhat likely	2. Unlikely		•	10 Tolerable risk level					
Last reviewed	27/04/2021	Risk rating	20. 16. Significant	16. Significant	8. Medium			0 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q T T T T T T T T T T T T					
Last changed	27/04/2021							May-20 Jun-20 Jul-20 Aug-20 Oct-20 Oct-20 Jan-21 Feb-21 Apr-21					

Last reviewed	27/04/2021	ı	Risk rating	20. 16. Significant	16. Significa	nt 8. Medium		May-20 Lul-20 Lul-20 May-20 Cot-20 Lul-21 Lul				Formatted Table			
Last changed	27/04/2021								May Jun Jul Jul Sep Oct Nov	Jan-Jan-Feb-Mary					
Strategic threat		Primary risk con	ntrols		G	aps in control	Plans to improve control		f assurance (and date)	Gaps in assurance / actions to	Assurance				
(what might cause this t		the risk and reducing the	e likelihood/ impact	, 	wi re to to	pecific areas / issues here further work is equired to manage the risk accepted appetite/ elerance level)	(are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	placing reliar	at the controls/ systems which we are nce on are effective)	address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	rating				
Threat: Inability to		 People Culture a 	•	t Strategy		ack of Divisional	Implementation of the People,	_	ent: Nursing & Midwifery Strategy	Staff becoming infected,					
staff due to demog	, ,	People and Inclu				wnership and	Culture and Improvement		Quarterly Strategic Priority Report	leading to increased sickness					
(including a signific	•	 Culture and Imp 		et		nderstanding of their	Strategy (People and		AHP Strategy to Board Sep '19;	absence					
external factors and	•	 Medical and Nur 	-		W	orkforce issues	Inclusion)	_	nd Midwifery and AHP six monthly						
circumstances) and	•	 Activity, Workfo 		•			SLT Lead: Executive Director of	_	port – Nov 20; Workforce and OD	Staff working in unfamiliar					
attitudes to careers	,	,		by Workforce Planning (•		People		date quarterly; Quarterly Assurance	roles					
employment marke	•	-	•	planning; workforce mo	delling;		Timescale: April 2021 complete		People & Inclusion and Culture &	0. 66					
reduced availability	•	winter capacity p	' '				- submitted to P,C&I		ent to People Culture and	Staff mental health as a result					
competition) result	J			itment systems and proc			Committee for approval		ent Committee; People Culture and	of psychological trauma					
workforce gaps in s	some ciinicai	•		Rostering systems and pr	roceaures		Deliver the Beenle Culture		ent: COVID-19 Update May '20;						
services		used to plan staf		affing levels for all wards	and		<u>Deliver the People, Culture</u> and Improvement Strategy		nt & Retention report monthly ompliance: Risk Committee						
				dard Operating Procedur			(People and Inclusion)		risk report Monthly; HR &		Inconclusive				
		•	_	recruitment processes v			SLT Lead: Executive Director of		e planning report Risk Committee;		inconclusive				
		authorisation lev	0	recruitment processes v	with defined		People		rkforce Indicators (Monthly); Bank						
		 Education partner 					Timescale: March 2022		y report (monthly); Guardian of safe				Formatted: Font: Not Bold		
		'	•	: People and Culture Boar	rd H	nsufficient staff to	Increase staffing to meet the		eport to Board Nov '20				Tornatted. Forc. Not Bold		
		 Workforce plann 		•		neet the Phase 3	Phase 3 Activity Plan		ent assurance: Well-led report CQC;						
		•		g HMRC taxation rules o		ctivity Plan	SLT Lead: Executive Director of		of resources report; IA Recruitment						
		and provision of	•	•		Jenney 1 .a	People		on report Jan '19 – Significant						
		 Pensions restruct 	•				Timescale: March		; EU Exit Risk System Overview –				Formatted: Not Highlight		
		 Risk assessments 					2021 complete		m and Nottinghamshire System Dec						
								_	mployment Checks internal audit						
1								report Feb	21 – significant assurance; HSJ						



Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/ tolerance level)	Plans to improve control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	Assurance rating
Threat: A significant loss of workforce productivity arising from a short-term reduction in staff availability or a reduction in effort above and beyond contractual requirements amongst a substantial proportion of the workforce and/or loss of experienced colleagues from the service, or caused by other factors such as poor job satisfaction, lack of opportunities for personal development, on-going pay restraint, workforce fatigue or wellbeing issues, or failure to achieve consistent values and behaviours in line with desired culture This could also lead to lack of engagement with patients, resulting in failure to address patient empowerment and self-help and failure to work across the system to empower patients and carers to enable personalised patient centred care	 People Culture and Improvement Strategy People and Inclusion Cabinet Culture and Improvement Cabinet Chief Executive's blog / Staff Communication bulletin Engagement events with Staff Networks (BAME, LGBT, WAND, Time to Change) Schwartz rounds Learning from COVID Staff morale identified as 'profile risk' in Divisional risk registers Star of the month/ milestone events Divisional action plans from staff survey Policies (inc. staff development; appraisal process; sickness and relationships at work policy) Just and restorative culture Influenza vaccination programme COVID-19 vaccination programme COVID-19 vaccination programme Staff counselling / Occ Health support Enhanced equality, diversity and inclusion focus on workforce demographics Freedom to Speak Up Guardian and champion networks Emergency Planning, Resilience & Response (EPRR) arrangements for temporary loss of essential staffing (including industrial action and extreme weather event) 	Inequalities in staff inclusivity and wellbeing across protected characteristics groups	Implementation of the People, Culture and Improvement Strategy (Culture and Improvement) SLT Lead: Executive Director of People Timescale: April 2021 complete — submitted to P,C&I Committee for approval Deliver the People, Culture and Improvement Strategy (Culture and Improvement) SLT Lead: Executive Director of People Timescale: March 2022 Completion and delivery of WRES and WDES action plans SLT Lead: Executive Director of People Timescale: March 2021complete Review and refine the current health and wellbeing offer SLT Lead: Executive Director of People Timescale: April 2021complete Deliver the Equality, Diversity and Inclusivity Strategy SLT Lead: Executive Director of People Timescale: March 2022	Management: Staff survey, action plan and annual report to Board Oct '20; Diversity & Inclusion Annual report Jun '20; WRES and WDES report to Board Jun '20; Combined assurance report addressing; D&I, Violence & Aggression, Restraints Oct 20 Board; Quarterly Assurance reports on People & Inclusion and Culture & Improvement to People Culture and Improvement Committee; People Culture and Improvement: COVID-19 Update May '20; Equality & Diversity presentation to Board Aug '20; Business Continuity exercises — post exercise reports through Resilience Assurance Committee (rolling program) Risk and compliance: EPRR Report (biannually); Freedom to Speak up Self-review BoardOct '20; Freedom to Speak Up Guardian report quarterly; Guardian of Safe Working report to Board Dec '20; Gender Pay Gap report to Board Mar '20; TRAC Performance Report to P, OD&C quarterly; Interim NHS People Plan self-assessment to People Culture & Inclusion Sep '20; Significant Risk Report to RC monthly; Gender Pay Gap report to Board Apr '21 Independent assurance: National Staff Survey Nov '19 Mar '21; SFFT/Pulse surveys (Quarterly); Well-led report CQC; Confirm and Challenge by NHS England Regional team and CCGs Sep '18; Internal Audit Business Continuity and Emergency Planning Sep '18	Reduction in available staff due to COVID-19, e.g. shielding of vulnerable staff groups and social distancing; redeployment to the vaccination programme Reduction in effort above and beyond contractual requirements due to COVID-19 service restrictions Reluctance of some staff members to return to work due to COVID-19-associated health concerns Restrictions to deployment of key staff due to reduced availability of Mandatory and Statutory Training, and the consequential expiry of certification	Inconclusive



Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	PR 4: Failure to achiev Failure to achieve agreed tra		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Strategic priority	5: To achieve better value					
Lead Committee	Finance	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Regulatory action	20		
Executive lead	Chief Financial Officer	Consequence	5. Very high	4. High	4. High	Risk appetite	Cautious	15		—— Current risk level
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2018	Likelihood	3. Possible	3. Possible	2. Unlikely			5		Tolerable risk level
Last reviewed	27/04/2021	Risk rating	15. Significant	12. High	8. Medium			0 0 0 0 0 0	20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	····· Target risk level
Last changed	27/04/2021							May-	Aug-20 Sep-20 Oct-20 Nov-20 Dec-20 Jan-21 Feb-21 Mar-21	

Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Plans to improve control	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps	Assurance rating
Threat: A reduction in funding or change in financial trajectory or unexpected event resulting in an increased Financial Improvement Plan (FIP) requirement to reduce the scale of the financial deficit, without having an adverse impact on quality and safety	 5 year long term financial model Working capital support through agreed loan arrangements Annual plan, including control total consideration; reduction of underlying financial deficit and unwinding of the PFI benefit by £0.5m annually Engagement with the Better Together alliance programme Transformation and Efficiency Cabinet FIP Board, FIP planning processes and PMO coordination of delivery Delivery of budget holder training workshops and enhancements to financial reporting A full 'wash up' of portfolio planning, delivery and engagement conducted; recovery plan in place, Board approved & governance in place Medical Pay Task Force action plan in place Close working with ICS partners to identify system-wide planning, transformation and cost reductions Executive oversight of commitments All costs and required cash associated with COVID-19 funded in full for period 1/4/20 to 30/9/20 2021/22 Planning guidance confirms continuation of 	No long term commitment received for liquidity / cash support Lack of identification of opportunities for recurrent delivery of FIP Lack of clarity on the financial regime for 21/22 H2	Full receipt of required cash (FRF) following delivery of NHSI required future trajectories SLT Lead: Chief Financial Officer Timescale: 2021/22 H2 plan submission date (TBC by NHSI) Full review of ability to improve recurrent delivery of FIP within financial planning for 2021/22 SLT Lead: Director of Culture and Improvement Timescale: 2021/22 H2 plan submission date (TBC by NHSI) H1 and H2 budget setting process for 2021/22 to include enhanced confirm and challenge SLT Lead: Chief Financial Officer Timescale: 2021/22 H2 plan submission date (TBC by NHSI) Monthly reviews of forecasts by CFO, monitoring and reporting of delivery of forecast (not plan), no reinvestment of favourable variance to forecast, adverse	Management: Delivery of improved 20/21 financial position; CFO's Financial Reports & FIP Summary (Monthly); Quarterly Strategic Priority Report to Board; Alliance Progress Report & STP FIP (at each Finance Committee meeting); Investment governance work programme; Divisional risk reports to Risk Committee bi-annually Risk and compliance: Risk Committee significant risk report Monthly; Independent assurance: Internal Audit Report FIP/ QIPP (Jul '18); EY Financial Recovery Plan; all costs associated with COVID-19 reimbursed in full to 30/9/20	Awaiting H2 2021/22 NHSI/E planning guidance	Inconclusive
Threat: ICS system deficit results in a negative financial impact to the Trust	Full participation in ICS planning SFH plan consistency with ICS plan ICS DoFs Group ICS Strategy and Delivery Group ICS Planning Group ICS Financial Sustainability Group	ICS underlying financial deficit	variances to be recovered SLT Lead: Chief Financial Officer Timescale: October 2020 to March 2021 — complete Weekly review meetings with NHSI to explain the 2019/20 impact on current £9m deficit SLT Lead: Chief Financial Officer Timescale: October 2020 to March 2021 — complete Full participation in the development of the ICS Financial Strategy and aligned payment mechanisms SLT Lead: Chief Financial Officer Timescale: 31/3/20212021/22 H2 plan submission date (TBC by NHSI)	Risk and compliance: ICS financial reports to Finance Committee; ICS Board updates to SFH Trust Board	Awaiting H2 2021/22 NHSI/E planning guidance	Inconclusive

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Not Highlight



Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	PR 5: Inability to initiate and i	•		•		Strategic priority	4: To continuously learn and im	nprove				
Lead Committee	People, Culture & Improvement	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Patient Harm	10				
Executive lead	Director of Culture & Improvement	Consequence	3. Moderate	3. Moderate	3. Moderate	Risk appetite	Cautious	6	6 ——Current risk level			
Initial date of assessment	17/03/2020	Likelihood	3. Possible	3. Possible	2. Unlikely		•	4		Tolerable risk level		
Last reviewed	27/04/2021	Risk rating	9. Medium	9. Medium	6. Low			0 0 0 0 0	20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	······ Target risk level		
Last changed	27/04/2021							May-	Sep-20 Oct-20 Nov-20 Dec-20 Jan-21 Feb-21 Apr-21			

Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Plans to improve control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	Assurance rating
Threat: Lack of understanding and agility resulting in reduced efficiency and effectiveness around how we provide care for patients	Digital StrategyImprovement StrategyPeople, Culture & Improvement Committee		Establish an ideas generator platform SLT Lead: Director of Culture and Improvement Timescale: end March 2021complete Introduction of a newly designed QI training offer	Management: Monthly FIP/Transformation and Efficiency report to FC; Clinical Audit & Improvement report to QASC quarterly; Culture & Improvement Assurance Report to PC&IC bimonthly Risk and compliance: SOF Culture and	Delays in <u>training</u> , planned improvement and innovation programmes due to COVID-19	
	 Culture & Improvement Cabinet Transformation Cabinet Ideas generator platform 		SLT Lead: Director of Culture and Improvement Timescale: May 2021	Improvement indicators; SFH breakthrough objectives to Board quarterly Independent assurance: none currently in place		
			Proposal for Continuous Improvement in SFH SLT Lead: Director of Culture and Improvement Timescale: May 2021			Positive
			Establishment of an Innovation Hub SLT Lead: Director of Culture and Improvement Timescale: June 2021			
			Recruit a Chief Information Officer SLT Lead: Medical Director Timescale: August 2021			

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt



Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	(what could prevent us achieving this Influencing the wider determinants of health and improving our collective financial position requires close partnership								2: To promote and support hea	th and wellbeing
Lead Committee	Risk	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Services	10		
Executive lead	Chief Executive Officer	Consequence	2. Low	2. Low	2. Low	Risk appetite	Cautious	6		Current risk level
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2020	Likelihood	3. Possible	4. Possible	2. Unlikely			2		Tolerable risk level
Last reviewed	13/04/2021	Risk rating	6. Low	8. Medium	4. Low			0 0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	····· Target risk level
Last changed	09/03/2021							May- Junlut	Nov-20 Nov-20 Nov-20 Jan-21 Mar-21 Apr-21	

Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Plans to improve control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	Assurance rating
Threat: Conflicting priorities, financial pressures (system financial plan misalignment) and/or ineffective governance resulting in a breakdown of relationships amongst ICS and ICP partners and an inability to influence further integration of services across acute, mental, primary and social care	 Mid-Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership Board Mid-Nottinghamshire ICP Executive formed May 2020 Mid-Nottinghamshire ICP breakthrough objectives signed off July 2020 Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care System Board Continued engagement with ICP and ICS planning and governance arrangements Quarterly ICS performance review with NHSI Joint development of plans at ICS level Finance Directors Group ICS Planning Group Alignment of Trust, ICS and ICP plans Trust CFO role as ICS Finance Director Independent chair for ICP 	Exec to Exec meetings with mid-Nottinghamshire CCG and Nottinghamshire Healthcare have been paused – attempting to restart	ICS governance review to include: Roles and responsibilities of the ICS Board Governance manual New ICS Chair to be appointed and starts in Feb 2021 started in February 2021 SLT Lead: Chief Executive Officer Timescale: under review December 2020 June 2021 Restore Exec to Exec meetings with mid-Nottinghamshire CCG and Nottinghamshire Healthcare SLT Lead: Chief Executive Officer Timescale: Will review once we move out of wave twoat an appropriate time—CEO meetings and other exec to exec meetings continue Board to Board with Notts Healthcare agreed for early April 2021. CEO and Chair of NUH, NHC and SFH met in February.	Management: Alliance Development Summary to Board; Strategic Partnerships Update to Board; mid- Nottinghamshire ICP delivery report to FC (as meeting schedule); Finance Committee report to Board; Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICS Leadership Board Summary Briefing to Board; Planning Update to Board Risk & compliance: Significant Risk Report to RC monthly Independent assurance: 360 Assurance review of SFH readiness to play a full part in the ICS – Significant Assurance	Delay in delivering the benefits of system working due to the impact of COVID-19	Inconclusive
Threat and Opportunity: Clinical service strategies and/or commissioning intentions that do not sufficiently anticipate evolving healthcare needs of the local population and/or reduce health inequalities	 Continued engagement with commissioners and ICS developments in clinical service strategies focused on prevention Partnership working at a more local level, including active participation in the mid-Nottinghamshire ICP Clinical Services Strategy - 5 of 20 services complete 	Insufficient granularity of plans to meet the needs of the population and the statutory obligations of each individual organisation	Development of a co-produced clinical services strategy for the ICS footprint – 2 nd set of 5 services SLT Lead: Medical Director 5 of 20 services complete as at October 2019 Timescale: end December 2020June 2021	Management: Alliance Development Summary to Board; Strategic Partnerships Update to Board; mid-Nottinghamshire ICP delivery report to FC (as meeting schedule); Finance Committee report to Board; Planning Update to Board Independent assurance: none currently in place	Delay in delivering the benefits of system working due to the impact of COVID-19	Inconclusive

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.3 cm, Hanging: 0.25 cm



Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: 10 pt
Formatted Table

	<u> </u>	7										_
Principal risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic priority)	A major incident resulting in temporary hospital closure or a prolonged disruption to the continuity of core services across the							Strat	egic priority	1: To provide outstanding care		
Lead Committee	Risk	Risk rating	Current exposure	Tolerable	Target	Risk type	Services	15 -				
Executive lead	Director of Corporate Affairs	Consequence	4. High	4. High	4. High	Risk appetite	Cautious	10 -			——Current risk level	
Initial date of assessment	01/04/2018	Likelihood	3. Possible 2. Unlikely	3. Possible	1. Very unlikely			5 -	•••••		Tolerable risk level	
Last reviewed	13/04/2021	Risk rating	12. High 8. Medium	12. High	4. Low			0 -	-20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20	Sep-20 Oct-20 Nov-20 Jan-21 Feb-21 Apr-21	······ Target risk level	+
Last changed	13/04/2021								May. Jun.	Sep Sep Oct Oct Dec Dec Jan-Feb Mar-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Apr-Ap		

Last changed 13/04/	2021							2 ' ' "	- 2 0 - 2 1	
Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & process in managing the risk and reducing		place to assist us (he threat)	Gaps in control are further controls possible order to reduce risk exposure vithin tolerable range?)	LUILLUI	ls possible in	Sources of assurance (a (Evidence that the controls/ streliance on are effective)		Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	Assurance rating
Threat: A large scale cyberattack that sShuts down of the IT network due to a large-scale cyber-attack or system failure that and severely limits the availability of essential information for a prolonged period	 Information Governance NHIS Cyber Security Strate Cyber Security Program Project Group and work Cyber news – circulated Network accounts check disabled after 80 days if Major incident plan in p Periodic phishing exerci Spam and malware email 	ategy me Board & Cyber Se plan I to all NHIS partners ked after 50 days of in not used place ses carried out by 360	curity nactivity – O Assurance				submission to Board Ma Hygiene Report to Cyber NHIS report to Risk Com annual report to Risk Co and COVID-19 Report to Independent assurance Security Governance Re Assurance; 360 Assurance Protection Toolkit Indep '20 – High confidence in Information Security Ma TIAN / 360 Assurance Cy	ommittee; Cyber Security o Board May '20 o: 360 Assurance Cyber oport Jan '19 – Significant ce Data Security and oendent Assessment Mar o submission; ISO 27001 anagement Certification; oyber Security Survey - The he NHS Dec '20; CCG Cyber	Insufficient assurance regarding governance and interface with NHIS 360 Assurance internal audit of governance and interface – ToRs agreedfinal report in draft SLT Lead: Medical Director Timescale: January February 2021	Positive
Threat: A critical infrastructure failure caused by an interruption to the supply of one or more utilities (electricity, gas, water), an uncontrolled fire or security incident or failure of the built environment that renders a significant proportion of the estate inaccessible or unserviceable, disrupting services for a prolonged period	 Premises Assurance Mo Estates Strategy 2015-2 PFI Contract and Estates PFI Partners Fire Safety Strategy NHS Supply Chain resilies Emergency Preparedness arrangements at region levels Operational strategies 8 incident (e.g. industrial disease; power failure; sevacuation; CBRNe) Gold, Silver, Bronze comincidents Business Continuity, Empolicies Resilience Assurance Collindependent Authorisin Major incident plan in p 	o25 s Governance arrange ence planning ss, Resilience & Respo al, Trust, division and & plans for specific tyl action; fuel shortage; severe winter weather mand structure for referency Planning & sommittee (RAC) oversig Engineer (Water)	ements with tements with conse (EPRR) service pes of major pandemic er; major ecurity	Dperational resilience of the Central Sterile Services Department CSSD)	Surgery division the preferred C provision option Executive team SLT Lead: Division Manager - Surgery division the CSSD service Case to the Exe SLT Lead: Division Manager - Surgery division the CSSD service Case to the Exe SLT Lead: Division Manager - Surgery divi	cSSD service In to the Initial General Isery I January I complete In to present I ce business Cutive team I comal General I cery	plc monthly performance Annual Report; Condition Water System) update to '19 Water Safety Update Jul' 20 Risk & compliance: Mort to Risk Committee Independent assurance Model to RC Dec' 18; EP standards compliance reassurance; Water Safety	en of retained estate (CCU to Risk Committee Jan e Report to Risk Committee enthly Significant Risk Report enthly Significan	Insufficient assurance of hard and soft FM contractor performance Monitor hard and soft FM performance and provide periodic assurance reports SLT Lead: Associate Director of Estates & Facilities Timescale: up to end March 2021	Positive



Strategic threat (what might cause this to happen)	Primary risk controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Gaps in control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Plans to improve control (are further controls possible in order to reduce risk exposure within tolerable range?)	Sources of assurance (and date) (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective)	Gaps in assurance / actions to address gaps and issues relating to COVID-19	Assurance rating
Threat: A critical supply chain failure that severely restricts the availability of essential goods, medicines or services for a prolonged period	 NHS Supply Chain resilience planning Business Continuity Management System & Core standards CAS alert system – Disruption in supply alerts Major incident plan in place PPE Strategy PPE Winter Forecast 2020/21 EU Exit Preparation Meetings COVID-19 Pandemic Surge Plan Procurement Influenza Pandemic Business Continuity Plan Interim provision for transmission of personal data to the United Kingdom clause within the EU Exit agreement 	None	N/A	Management: Procurement Annual Report to Audit & Assurance Committee; Oxygen Supply Assurance report to Incident Control Team Apr '20; COVID-19 Governance Assurance Report to Board May '20 Independent assurance: Internal Audit Business Continuity and Emergency Planning Sep '18 – Significant Assurance; 2019/20 Counter Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Annual Report; EU Exit Risk System Overview – Nottingham and Nottinghamshire System Dec '20	Security of supplies due to: - Unknown impact of Brexit on critical items including medicines - Potential ban on exports to the UK from China	Positive

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 10 pt, Font color: Black