Objective	Risk no.	Risk description	Cause	Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	Gross RAG Status	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	Net RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
What is the Trust's objective?	Risk ref	Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective		What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	Individual ultimately accountable for managing the risk	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	What positive assurances are there? What benefits have these brought?	assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	Who is responsible for this action?	When must it be completed?	Red / Amber / Green
Strategic Objective 1: Achieve the best patient experience.	1.1	timescales	PALS concerns reported by patients and carers Patient administration	Increased delays in arranging patient appointments leading to poor patient experience. Failure to promptly share patient information with GPs leading to delayed treatment, inappropriate care and potential impact on clinical outcomes.	Director	4	4	16	pathways. Post	informs assessment of progress. Turnaround plan in respect of the 5 day target is managed via the performance contract			4	1	4		Director of Operations		
	1.2	the healthcare environment between PFI new	replace/refurbish		Strategic Planning and	3	3	9	In year investment in high risk estate areas. Improved links between the Corporate and Divisional risk management processes to identify, manage and address risks in a coherent and joined up manner	TMB Reports	01/09/2014	Phase 1 of the Estates Strategy was completed in December 2013. Phase 2 will be completed September 2014. 'Better Together' Estates workstream in place.	3	3	9	Estates rationalisation programme needs to be developed on the back of the 'Better Together' Programme	Director of Strategic Planning and Commercial Development	Sep-14	
	1.3	Failure to implement process and procedures to ensure robust timely management of Complaints	commitment to patient care and principles of NHS constitution	Reduced service quality and opportunities for lessons learnt/service improvement Reputational impact	Director of Nursing	4	4	16	Complaints team have been strengthened with 1 specialist interim complaints manager overseeing the team. Peformance management tool/spreadsheet - assessed weekly as a minumum Daily dialogue between divisions and complaints team New process for complaints management currently progressing through a workforce change process	is now cleared. Quarterly reporting on performance and themes to Trust Board via Patient Experience Report Weekly meetings with divisions		New complaints & PALS structure being redesigned and will involve restructure of teams to ensure the new process can be implemented but Divisional Teams have given their commitment to continuing with current processes until new process implemented		2	6	Implemention of the new process following workforce change process. Updated complaints policy Temporary staff recruited pending implementation of new structure	Director of Nursing	June 2014 for implementation of new process following consultation	

1.4	Failure to manage	Not having	Timeliness of	Medical	5	4	20	Additional staff training	18 week	Not consistently	5	3	15	BSU teams	Director of	Mar-14
	the patient pathway	effective	diagnosis, poor	Director				in 18 weeks. SOP's in	management.	achieving 18wks				realigned to ensure	Operations	
		processes for	management of					place.	Validation of 18	across all				increased focus and		
		managing 18	patient pathway						weeks. Unanswered	specialties				attention on the 18		
		week pathway in							telephone log. ICPI's					week process.		
		PPC specialties.												Additional resource		
		Lack of safe												has been deployed		
		operating												into PC & S		
		procedures. Not														
		reviewing post														
		and diagnostic														

Objective	Risk no.	Risk description	Cause	Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	RAG Status	Existing controls	Month	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
What is the Trust's objective?	Risk ref	Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective	What might cause the risk to occur?	What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	Individual ultimately accountable for managing the risk	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	What positive assurances are there? What benefits have these brought?	When is the assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	Who is responsible for this action?	When must it be completed?	Red / Amber / Green
Strategic Objective 2: Improve patient safety and provide high quality care.	2.*	the Trust's Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratio	and policies not aligned to enable reduction in HSMR. No clear actions idenitifed to reduce HSMR. Lack of clinical engagement and staff understanding to enable improvements in	on patient care and clinical outcomes. Potential reduction in public confidence which will impact on Adverse impact on primary care referral patterns and commissioning including potential		5	5	25	Trust wide mortality action plan has been developed and is on track Internal Mortality Group has been established with responsibility for implementing actions to reduce HSMR Joint CCG and Trust Mortality Group continues to meet to monitor progress and initiate remedial action where appropriate. Monthly monitoring of HSMR through the use of Dr Foster data. Clinical and operational workstreams established tasked to implement actions to reduce HSMR. Associate Medical Director for Patient Safety appointed. Patient Safety Lead has been appointed to focus on key deliverables. The action plan based on keogh recommendations is being implemented which will influence mortality rates	between incidents, complaints and morbidity and mortality meetings. Cardiac arrest rate has fallen. Mortality from 'big five' has continued to fall and stabilise.	30/04/2014	Vitalpac electronic monitoring system has been supported and the project is established with timescales to roll out in psring 2014	5	4	20	Develop an implementation plan for Vitalpac within next three months with full roll out of the system by the end of the current financial year. Continue to implement and monitor the delivery of the action plan relating to Keogh and the Trust mortality action plan. Agreed patient safety programme for 2014/15 Patient Safety Steering Group oversees progress across all mortality and safety workstreams. Patient Safety Fellow has been appointed	CEO Each action has an assigned executive lead	implementation by the end of Dec 2014 Each specific action has a completion date and progress is monitored at a weekly project meeting. Executive review of the action plan is undertaken at programme Management Board	Red
	2.2	patient harms which include	met Insufficient	quality to meet Trust priorites and contractual requirements from CCG	Executive Medical Director	4	4	16	Ward assurance dashboard set up to facilitate the monitoring of measures Monthly meetings with matrons FOCUS IT tool set up to enable clinical teams to review performance and set actions which can then be monitored by the management teams Establishment of medicines safety project and recruitment of falls nurse Harms projects monitored at Patient Safety Steering Group	pressure ulcer performance during last 12 months. 30% reduction in grade 2 and 3 pressure ulcers. Zero grade 4 pressure ulcers for 12 months. Reduction in falls with harm in Jan &	30th April 2014	IT system refinement and development to facilitate the monitoring of harms information	4	3	12	Work with IT to develop new tool to enable clinicians to track and monitor performance Succesful bid to NHS England. New softward solution is being sought		Jun-14	

0 ,	Insufficient capability and capacity to deliver improvements within the accelerated timescales Inability of clinical teams to implement reduction strategies Lack of project resources to drive improvements	identified. Risk to Registration with CQC. Reputational risk which impacts on patient choice, staff choosing to work at SFH and confidence of commissioners	Executive Director of Nursing & Executive Medical Director	5	4 20	Weekly project meetings to review progress of action plans Programme Management Office supporting and ensuring robust project management Additional resource to support delivery of selected key actions Actions plans being integrated to bring together Keogh, PWC and CQC recommendations Immediate increase in nursing staffing levels at night introduced post Keogh Summit CQUINS monitored via quality committees	Management Board Positive assurance from CQC - Complaince action reduced to Minor for Outcome 16 No additional risk summit required Investment in nursing (£4 Million) agreed at Dec'13 Trust Board External reviews noting 'Keogh' tactions in practice e.g. Care and Comfort mentioned in Junior Drs report Positive assurance from recent Keogh Review 23 groups of action- 6 recored as assured and 17 partially assured. No areas recored as not	2014	Implementation of all actions are not complete, outcomes are not yet evident or it is too early to tell if the changes are embedded and sustainable	4	3	12	Implementation of new nursing establishments and skill mix Continue to implement and monitor the delivery of the CQC & Keogh action plans continue wwekly meetings with divisions to monitor action plan	CEO	March 2016 May 2014	Amber
2.4 Failure to implement preventative measures resulting in a serious, largely preventable safety incident (never event)	incident from	Severe harm/death to our patients. Failure to instill confidence in our patients and general public. Potential regulatory action.	Executive Director of Nursing & Executive Medical Director	5	3 15	Stronger focus on patient safety within the Trust. Guidelines and procedures. Duty of candour emphasised. Communication of never events list. Patient safety fellow with an expanding patient safety programme of work.		31/04/2014	Implementation of new nursing establishments to increase workforce number. Developing quality governance framework Sustained and embedded governance systems and processes including risk management training.	5	2	10	Implremenation of the quality of safety strategy. Continue to implement risk management training. Finalise restructure of Governance Support Unit.	Executive Director of Nursing. Head of Governance	01/04/2014 Ongoing.	

Objective	Risk no.	Risk description		Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	RAG Status	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
What is the Trust's objective?	Risk ref	Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective	What might cause the risk to occur?	What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	Individual ultimately accountable for managing the risk	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	Title Decidio	When is the assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	Who is responsible for this action?	When must it be completed?	Red / Amber / Green
Strategic Objective 3: Attract, develop and motivate effective teams	3.	1 Failure to appropriately manage and train staff to carry out their work.	supervisors not sufficiently skilled to lead and appraise their teams. Insufficient training opportunities and poor attendance at those opportunities that are presented. Appraisals not being undertaken compliance rate currently 75.54% of a target of 79% Whilst appraisals have been completed the quality of the appraisal may not be seen to support and	absence. Poor staff experience outcomes in the staff survey. Staff unclear about what is expected and required of them. Poor quality patient care. Increased patient and staff health and safety incidents. If the Trust cannot		4	3	12	Procedures and policies have been revised and updated in respect of training, sickness absence, appraisals and recruitment Additional central resources have been recruited to progress and implement sickness Management Policies and also Staff Appraisal Procedures. New performance management framework has been implemented. Sickness absence panel to more effectively performance manage sick absence to audit return to work interviews. mandatory training completion reports escalated through Management to CG&Q	reports covering sickness absence, staff appraisals and training. Monthly Board reports highlighting performance with sickness absence, appraisal completion rates and fixed and variable pay expenditure Staff and patient surveys Sickness absence and appraisal reports		Gap in Board knowledge in relation to the effectiveness of the arrangements that are in place.	4	3	12	assess the effectiveness of the	Resources	31/03/2014	
	3.2	2 Failure to attract appropriately skilled staff	appropriately skilled staff in the labour market both within the UK and Nationally. (specifically in	Poor quality patient care Increased patient and staff health and safety incidents. Increased variable pay expenditure due to reliance on agency staff.	Director of Human Resources	5	4	20	Procured external companies to assist with international recruitment, (Medical and Nursing). Framework in place to managing the media to ensure good news gets into the press to attract high quality staff to the Trust.	pay expenditure against plan.	31/03/2014		5	4	20	Appointment of Project Manager to assist with the enhancement of the in-house bank. Review of perceptorship support for nurses. Develop effective in- house bank to increase nursing supply. Review staffing skill mix for medical staff in hard to fill specialties. Consider alternative staffing models for	Human Resources Deputy Director of Nursing	31/03/2014	

The first of the first in the f	Objective	Risk no.	Risk description		Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	RAG Status	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
defining part of the control part of the contr	What is the Trust's objective?		which threatens the achievement of the		possible consequences if the	ultimately accountable for managing the	_	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	and processes are in place to manage the	assurances are there? What benefits	assurance			Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	(if any) is necessary	responsible for		
3.4 Failure to delayer strategic expectation of objectives. Weakened governance arrangements results improvements in patient care. Lack of consistency in Board overeight of the properties of costs. Lack of consistency in Board overeight of the properties of the		3.3	clinical posts filled using temporary staff resulting in a financial and quality risk. Increased costs of agency spend and risk of temporary staff not trained/inducted appropriately to area of work	workforce insufficient to meet business needs. Insufficient staff having appropriate skills and knowledge to deliver required services. Insufficient appropriately skilled and qualified staff in	temporary staff affecting the cost and quality of services	Operations/	4	4	16	approaches to recruitment process including the use of Trust Open Days. Utilising existing partnership arrangements to fill "hard to recruit" posts; Extending nursing roles to cover medical staff; 2013/14 establishments have been set at 2012/13 outturn results; Invested in strengthening the Nurse Bank; Minimise use of Agency and Bank Staff to cover Band 2 posts is prohibited from June 2013; Procured arrangements for international recruitment, alternative ways of working being fully utilised to ensure	Clinical Governance and Quality Committee is used to flag recruitment hotspots and drive corrective action; Financial and HR reports to Trust Board highlighting cost and use of temporary staff Monthly board Reports highlighting vacancies	31/03/2014	posts have been identified and agencies are focussing attention on those roles but to date have had		4	16	new strategies and recruitment markets; At the same time we need to ensure that current momentum in this area is maintained and that complacency does not set in where we have been successful in reducing the use of temporary staff; We need to develop radically different workforce models to inform our Workforce Strategy and Planning Work, linked to clinical strategies and to form a key element in our business planning processes	Operations; Executive Director of Nursing and Quality: Executive Director of Human	31/03/2014	
second weeking the develop and improve second weeking the develop and individual February 2014. Following this appointment, all Board		3.4	strategic objectives.	Board level due to the high level of turnover and interim appointments.	leads to reduction in the quality of services and patient care with increased costs. Weakened governance arrangements results in failure to drive improvements in patient care. Lack of consistency in Board oversight results in failure to identify and address emerging clinical, operational and		4	3	12	Interim Board has been replaced with substantive appointments: - 3 x NEDS from 01/05/13; - Director of Operations from 01/06/2013; - Chairman from 10/06/2013 - CEO from 10/06/13; - 2 x NEDs from 01/07/2013 - Director of Corporate Services/Company Secretary from 27/08/2013 - Director of Strategic Planning and Commercial Development from 02/12/2013 - 2 x NEDs from 01/11/13 In addition, a new Interim Medical Director was appointed on 01/10/2013 and the interviews for this substantive position take place during the second week of February 2014. Following this	committee structure is now in place and operational; New Terms of Reference agreed for each of the subcommittees in the structure; Monthly "real-time" reporting to Trust Board by subcommittee chairs with sub-committee minutes the month after; PwC diagnostic on Board Governance successfully delivered and Board assesses progress against the diagnostic in a monthly basis. Exec Team have regular away days to develop and improve team and individual		Induction programme for new NEDs and Chair is required (timetabled for summer 2013); Board Development Programme needed to support the Unitary Board commences January 2014 PwC scored the Board at 4 (down from 13 January 2013) against Monitor's Quality Governance Framework (QGF) in		2	6	actions required to address the current			

			clinicians into effective managers in the Trust - don't	development programme for clinical leaders, lack of clarity of roles and	Poor leadership in divisions and clinical services Failure to develop strategic clinical service plans		4	3		development programme being				4	3		Completion and Evaluation of development programme		31/03/2014	
--	--	--	---	--	---	--	---	---	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--	---	---	--	---	--	------------	--

Objective	Risk no.	Risk description		Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	RAG Status	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior
What is the Trust's objective?	Risk ref	Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective		What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	Individual ultimately accountable for managing the risk	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	What positive assurances are there? What benefits have these brought?	When is the assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	IxL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	Who is responsible for this action?	When must it be completed?	assessment Red / Amber / Green
Strategic Objective 4: Achieve Financial sustainability.	4.1		DH disagrees with outcome of methodology or will not fund result of methodology	position continues to	Chief Financial Officer	5	4	20	Monitor License recognises the need to isolate the PFI impact from underlying financial performance; Disrretionary reporting requirements have been added to our licence conditions so they are updated monthly Monitor have requested they are updated if the Mid Notts Review outputs does not contribute to the PFI burden.	Performance Committee and to the Trust Board. Paper outlining Trust initerpretation of the excess PFI burdern has been developed, taken through F&P and shared with	31/03/2014	Further discussions with Monitor and DH	5	4	20	Further disucssions with Monitor and DH		ongoing	
	4.2	Insufficient cash liquidity.	Failure to secure sufficient cash financial support.			5	3	15		Monthly freedings Monthly report to Trust Board and Finance and Performance Committee outlining cash position and forecast cash flows.		Commitment to liquidity support for the final quarter of 2013/14 has not yet been received. Liquidity support needs to be aligned with the Trust demonstrating delivery of CIP's. 6 month review of liquidity support expected following completion of ARA.	5	2	10	Obtain commitment to liquidity support for the final quarter of 2013/14. Shape a Trust wide view of our benchmark ambition, taking into account all the existing work already focusing on these performance targets		30/11/13	
	4.3	improvement plan	information on market opportunities and threats. Sub optimal Contract agreement with	Inability to adequately plan capacity. Insufficient progress on factors the Trust can influence (efficiency agenda) and lack of support for factors the Trust can not influence (excess PFI burden)	Chief Financial Officer	5	4	20	Actively engaging with commissioners and other partners to deliver the 'Better Together' and 'Better Care Fund' agendas through the Mid Notts joint working	Board of Directors.	31/03/2014	Impact of 'Better Together' QIPP on 2014/15 contract and internal capacity to be determined	5	4	20	Ongoing engagement with 'Better Together' and 'Better Care Fund' partners. Strengthening of programme and project arrangements around associated change.	Chief Financial Officer	Ongoing	
	4.4	Failure to adequately performance manage the agreed operational and financial plan	information are not robust enough	Inability and capacity of the organisation to deliver the agreed plan		5	4	20	Monthly divisional performance management meetings in place with full executive engagment.	Enhanced scrutiny of key issues and associated mitigating actions	31/03/2014	Relationship of Service Line to divisional performance need to be strengthened.	5	3	15	Ongoing development of performance management arrangements at serive line level	Chief Financial Officer	31/05/14	

	Reduced funding from Commissioners	deterioration of the financial health of the local economy. Unforseen alterations to allocations and/or	gap. Quality of service provision severely challenged Commissioners seeking further savings from the trust over and above	Trust Board	5	4		PBR based contract. QIA process in place for CIP's. Monthly divisional performance meetings	Direct relationship between activity and income		Mitigation of performance risks. Clear ownership at service line, divisional and Trust level.	5	4		Chief Financial Officer	30/04/14
	Failure of delivery of year on year CIPs	change means the Trust fails to deliver year on year CIPs in a sustained and transformational		Trust Board	5	4	20	Development of longer- term CIP plan which is clinically led. PAS implementation in 2014 to support benefits realisation. Incorporated into Board strategy development for October plan submission.			Schemes of required value not yet identified. Further work required to develop pipeline over next 3-5 years.	5	4	Understand scale for change programme that is stretching but achieveable	Chief Executive Chief Executive	October 31st
	Lack of financial management across the Trust	Trust do not have	Expenditure exceeds available financial resource	Trust Board	5	4	20	Budgetary control system in place		0 0	Relationship of Service Line to divisional performance need to be strengthened.	5	4		Chief Financial Officer	31/05/14

Objective	Risk no.	Risk description		Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	RAG Status	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Status	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
What is the Trust's objective?		Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective	the risk to occur?	What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	ultimately	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	What positive assurances are there? What benefits have these brought?	assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	IXL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	responsible for	When must it be completed?	Red / Amber /
Strategic Objective 5: Communication and engagement channels need to be strengthened with health and social care partners	5.1	identified in the 'Better Together' Review.	with other health and social care	Planned savings for the Trust and the local health economy not delivered.	Director of Strategic Planning and Commercial Development	4	3	12	The Trust is providing clinical leadership in the	the Trust Board; Monthly reports to TMB; Monthly reports to		Better Together' realisation plan needs strengthening.	4	3	12	recommendations of the 'Better Together'	Development: supported by	01/03/2015	

Objective	Risk no.	Risk description		Consequence	Risk owner	Gross impact	Gross likelihood	Existing controls	Sources of assurance	Expiry date	Gaps in assurance	Net impact	Net likelihood	RAG Rating	Action for further control	Action owner	Due date	Movement from prior assessment
What is the Trust's objective?	Risk ref	Describe the risk which threatens the achievement of the objective		What are the possible consequences if the risk occurs?	Individual ultimately accountable for managing the risk		Rating of 1 to 5	What existing controls and processes are in place to manage the risk?	assurances are	When is the assurance valid until?	What has not yet been done?	Rating of 1 to 5	Rating of 1 to 5	lxL	What further action (if any) is necessary to address the gap?	responsible for	When must it be completed?	
		Clinical strategy does not fully reflect the requirements of commissioners and other stakeholders.	not updated regularly.	services for patients to fully meet commissioner requirements.	Medical Director	4	3	Structure and programme of work have been developed. Assistant Director of Operations has been appointed to focus on delivery of the Clinical Strategy. Two streams of work have been implemented to inform the strategy - Theatres and Operations. Service improvement process has been developed. Service improvement programme in initiation process at SFH and dovetailing with CCG 'Better Together' Programme. Agreed 3 workstreams; flow, elective and 24/7. Continuation of Newton Europe work on OP and Theatres ongoing as Elective Programme Board.			Gaps in transformation skills and pathway redesign skills have not yet been quantified; Divisional based strategies are currently under development;	4	4		business cases from Better+Together, to understand impact and implications for service delivery	Operations, Director of Strategic Planning & Clinical Director	31/03/14	
		Failure to rectify governance failings and emerge from breach of authorisation		breach of authorisation leading to potential loss of business and take over	CEO	5	4	Programme Board.	programme/project workbooks developed and being refined to incorporate QGF and Financial Governance. PwC scored the Trust against Monitor's Quality Governance Framework at 4. (down from 13 January 2013) in December 2013.			4	3		Consolidated action plan developed and quality improvement group established. All actions have accountable owners. Quality improvement Group reports to Programme Board monthly.	CEO	30.01.2014	
Impa	t rating	s 1 to 5			Likelihood ratir	ngs 1 to 5			Likelihood	X	Consequence			Moderate				
		Insignificant Minor			1	Rare Unlikely			Rare - 1		Insignificant = 1		nor =2	= 3	Major = 4	Catastophic = 5		
		Moderate			3	Possible			Unlikely - 2		2		4	6	8	10		
	4	Major			4	Likely			Possible -3		3		6	9	12	15		
	5	Extreme			5	Imost certai	in		Likely - 4		4		8	12	16	20		
									Certain - 5	L	5		10	15	20	25		