## Finance Committee Chair's Highlight Report to the Council of Governors

Subject:	Finance Committee (FC) Report	Date: 13 <sup>th</sup> February 2024				
Prepared By:	Graham Ward – FC Chair					
Approved By:						
Presented By:	Graham Ward – FC Chair					
Purpose:						
To provide an overview of the key discussion items from the Finance Committee meeting of 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2024. Assurance Significant						

Matters of Concern or Key Risks to Escalate	Major Actions Commissioned / Work Underway		
<ul> <li><u>EPR Governance</u> – Board is asked to agree the governance model going forward for the EPR programme.</li> <li><u>Month 9 Finance Report</u> – The deficit year to date is £11.4M (£0.4M adverse to plan). Key issues for escalation:         <ul> <li>Month 9 Performance – this shows a continued reduced run rate, but Board should note that there is some expected 'catch-up' expenditure that may increase run rate again for Month 10.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>ICB and ICS Update</u> – Enquiries to be made on what the future clawback impact of the deficit for 2023/24 will be on the trust and the ICB.</li> <li><u>Financial Strategy</u> – Agreed to receive more detailed report at the next meeting ahead of future presentation to Board.</li> </ul>		
Positive Assurances to Provide	<b>Decisions Made</b> (include BAF review outcomes)		
<ul> <li><u>EPR Update</u> – Progress and timetable were noted and future governance discussed (see escalation above). Oversight by Quality Committee and well as Finance Committee important, plus potential NED observation at a programme level.</li> <li><u>NHIS Quarterly Update</u> – Assurance gained on the continued performance of NHIS.</li> <li><u>Agency Usage</u> – report summarising agency usage and highlighting increased control of this spend was noted, though risk of increase in Month 10 was also noted.</li> <li><u>Month 9 Finance Report</u> – Noted that trust is on track to deliver agreed deficit of £8.5M for the year after allowance for extra £4.2M of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>CT Scanner</u> – Noted that approval of the CT Scanner business case, funded by NHSE, had been supported by all Committee Members remotely.</li> <li><u>Energy Contract</u> – agreed to recommend to Board the alignment of energy procurement to NHSE approach on expiry of existing contracts.</li> <li><u>Finance Committee Annual Report</u> – Approved subject to addition of note that ToR now includes points for escalation to ICB.</li> <li><u>BAF</u> – Agreement of recommendations to change the overall risk for PR4 (Financial Strategy) down to 16 and the Assurance Rating to Green. Agreed that PR8 (sustainability) retain a risk rating of 9 and</li> </ul>		

Comments on Effectiveness of the Meeting	•	IA related costs. It was also brought to the Committees attention that the annual accounts would show a significantly increased deficit due to the impact of introducing IFRS16 (lease accounting). Agreed that this should be clearly explained and reconciled when annual financial statements prepared. <u>Financial Recovery Update</u> – progress highlighted in reports from the FRC quadrant reports was noted and agreement that this Cabinet was effective and should continue in some form into 2024/25. <u>Procurement Forward View</u> – assurance gained on the increased visibility and controls/strategic review being implemented on future procurements. <u>National Cost Collection</u> – Noted that the submission had been made on time. <u>PFI Settlement Update</u> – noted that progress continues and that the Water issue in particular had reached agreement in principle. <u>Capital Resources Oversight Group</u> – progress noted through the latest quadrant report.	<ul> <li>the Assurance Rating to be set at Amber.</li> <li><u>Committee Effectiveness</u> – Agreed that all questions in the Health Check had been fully met, but would look at further benchmarking opportunities to help ensure continued improvement and best practice.</li> </ul>			
A All papars were of a high quality and clear which halped the meeting run smeethly and promoted good constructive shallongs and discussion	<ul> <li>Comments on Effectiveness of the Meeting</li> <li>All papers were of a high quality and clear which helped the meeting run smoothly and promoted good constructive challenge and discussion.</li> </ul>					