## **Council of Governors**

Subject:	Board Assurance Framework		Date: 14 <sup>th</sup> May	<b>Date:</b> 14 <sup>th</sup> May 2019	
Prepared By:	Neil Wilkinson, Risk	and Assurance Mana			
Approved By:	Shirley Higginbotham	n, Director of Corpora	ate Affairs		
Presented By:	Richard Mitchell, Chi				
Purpose					
To provide the Council of Governors with assurance			Approval	✓	
regarding the effectiveness of risk management within the Board Assurance Framework (BAF)			Assurance		
			Update		
			Consider		
Strategic Objec	tives				
To provide	To promote and	To maximise the	To continuously	To achieve	
outstanding	support health	potential of our	learn and	better value	
care	and wellbeing	workforce	improve		
$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
		rall Level of Assura		-	
	Significant	Sufficient	Limited	None	
		$\checkmark$			
Risks/Issues					
Financial	Principal Risk 4 concerns the Trust's financial sustainability.				
Patient Impact	Principal Risk 1 concerns the delivery of safe and effective patient care.				
Staff Impact	Principal Risk 3 concerns staff capability and capacity.				
Services	Principal Risk 2 concerns the management of capacity and demand.				
	Principal Risk 6 concerns the effectiveness of strategic partnerships. Principal Risk 7 concerns the management of major disruptive incidents.				
Demutational				live incidents.	
Reputational	oups where this item	ncerns stakeholder o			
	s review individual Prir			lity Committoo:	
	tee; Risk Committee; F				
	e BAF quarterly. Board				
Executive Sum					
	ind y				
Each principal r	isk in the BAF is assig	ned to a Lead Direct	or as well as to a Le	ad Committee, to	
	rd to maintain effective				
	The 7 Principal Risks a	<b>.</b> .		Jenen process er	
	·				
PR1 (	Catastrophic failure in s	tandards of safety &	care		
PR2 [	Demand that overwhelm	ns capacity			
PR3 (	ritical shortage of workforce capacity & capability				
	ailure to maintain financial sustainability				
	Fundamental loss of sta	•	2		
			•		
	Breakdown of strategic				
PR7 N	Major disruptive inciden	t			
Lood Committe	aa baya baan biro (22				
	es have been identified	vel of assurance the			

meeting, providing a rating as to the level of assurance they can take that the risk treatment strategy will be effective in mitigating the risk.

The Risk Committee further supports the lead committees in their role by maintaining oversight of the organisation's divisional and corporate risk registers and escalating risks that may be pertinent to the lead committee's consideration of the BAF.

The whole BAF will be reviewed to align it with the new Trust Strategy at the Board workshop 30<sup>th</sup> May.

## Outcomes of lead committee reviews and further updates

Finance Committee (18<sup>th</sup> December meeting)

PR4 - the **current risk exposure** likelihood element to be reduced from 4 -'somewhat likely' to 3 -'possible'; the overall rating reduced to 15.

PR6 - the **current risk exposure** consequence element reduced from 5 -'very high', to 4 -'high'; the overall rating reduced to 4.

## **Quality Committee**

PR1 - the overall risk rating and assurance ratings for all threats to remain unchanged.

PR2 - the **tolerable risk** rating likelihood element to be increased from 2 – 'unlikely' to 3 – 'possible'; the overall rating increased to 12.

PR3 - the overall risk rating and assurance ratings for all threats to remain unchanged. Lead Committee changed to Workforce, OD & Culture.

PR5 - the overall risk rating and assurance ratings for all threats to remain unchanged.

## Risk Committee

PR7 - the **current risk exposure** consequence element reduced from 5 -'very high', to 4 -'high'; the overall rating reduced to 8.