Council of Governors

Subject:	Finance Committee report to Council of			Date: 18 th February 2020		
Casjoon	Governors			Date. To Testidary 2020		
Prepared By:	Neal Gossage, Chair of Finance Committee					
Approved By:	Neal Gossage, Chair of Finance Committee					
Presented By:	Graham Ward, Member of the Finance Committee					
Purpose						
To update the Council of Governors with regard to the				Approval		
	ictivities of the Finance Committee and highlight the key			Assurance	X	
discussions. The Governor Observers will provide verbal			-	Update	X	
feedback of the meeting.			-	Consider		
				Conclusi		
Strategic Objectives						
To provide	To support each	To inspire	То	get the most	To play a	
outstanding	other to do a	excellence	fro	om our	leading role in	
care to our	great job		res	sources	transforming	
patients					health and care	
					services	
x	x	x		X	x	
x Overall Level of A	Assurance				X	
		x Sufficient	Lir	x mited		
Overall Level of	Assurance		Lir		X	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues	Assurance Significant	Sufficient x		mited	X None	
Overall Level of	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe	Sufficient x ctively across the sy	/ster	mited m could have a d	X None	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus	/ster	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS	x None etrimental impact	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus	/ster st ar	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS	x None etrimental impact	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient population	/ster st ar ess on	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways	x None etrimental impact could have a	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage s	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus	/ster st ar ess on	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways	x None etrimental impact could have a	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact Staff Impact	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage s of new processes	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient populations staff in new ways of w	vster st ar ess on work	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways	x None etrimental impact could have a he implementation	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage s of new processes Inability to agree ar	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient population staff in new ways of w	vster st ar ess on work	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways	x None etrimental impact could have a he implementation	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact Staff Impact Services	Assurance Significant Failure to work efferent on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage so of new processes Inability to agree ar negative impact on	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient population staff in new ways of w nd implement seamle our service provisio	vster st ar ess on work ess n	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways ting could delay t patient pathways	x None etrimental impact could have a the implementation could have a	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact Staff Impact Services Reputational	Assurance Significant Failure to work effe on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage s of new processes Inability to agree ar negative impact on Lack of engagement	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient population staff in new ways of w nd implement seamle our service provisio nt in the ICP/ICS cou	vster st ar ess on work ess on uld re	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways king could delay t patient pathways esult in negative	x None etrimental impact could have a the implementation could have a	
Overall Level of A Risks/Issues Financial Patient Impact Staff Impact Services Reputational Committees/grou	Assurance Significant Failure to work efferent on the financial per Inability to agree ar negative impact on Failure to engage so of new processes Inability to agree ar negative impact on	Sufficient x ectively across the sy formance of the Trus nd implement seamle our patient population staff in new ways of w nd implement seamle our service provision nt in the ICP/ICS count has been presented	vster st ar ess on work ess on uld re	mited m could have a d nd the ICP/ICS patient pathways king could delay t patient pathways esult in negative	x None etrimental impact could have a the implementation could have a	

Executive Summary

The Finance Committee met on 17th December 2019 and 27th January 2020 and reports as follows:

Governor observers were Kevin Stewart and Brian Bacon, who will verbally feedback their views and comments to the Council of Governors

Matters to be brought to the attention of the Council of Governors:

1.1 Planning and Budgeting

Work is underway to prepare the 2020/21 budget but, at the time of the committee meeting, the Trust was awaiting planning guidance from NHSI. This has since been received and the impact of it will be assessed before the next committee meeting.

The trusts underlying deficit is nearly £10m worse than plan and has failed to deliver its FIP target on a recurrent basis for the last two financial years. This will present challenges as the 2020/21 plan is prepared.

The guidance includes, inter alia, a much greater emphasis on the system (ICS) performance and it looks likely that at least 50% of the FIP plan for 2020/21 will depend on system performance. The ICS has not delivered its plans for the last two years so this change represents a further challenge for SFH.

The initial draft plan for 2020/21 will have to be submitted to NHSI by early March 2020 so it will be discussed at the next committee meeting.

1.2 Medicine Division

The committee received a report on the performance of the Medicine division for the current financial year. The performance of the division has deteriorated in the year for a number of reasons including:

- Pressures resulting from the pensions earnings cap for consultants resulting in fewer sessions being worked
- Staff vacancies the division currently has 28 medical staff vacancies
- Increased sickness in the division resulting in £270,000 of additional cost

The division did flag a risk of \pounds 7.3m in delivering its surplus at the start of the financial year but the impact of the matters identified above and mitigating actions taken have resulted in the surplus for the division now forecast to be \pounds 25.6m - \pounds 4.2m (14%) short of plan. This has a significant impact on the overall deficit forecast for the Trust.

1.3 PFI

Discussions are ongoing in relation to the hard and soft FM services provided to the Trust against the service specifications. The outcome of the discussions will be reported to the board once a conclusion has been reached.

1.4 Month 9 Financial Performance

The Trust reported a deficit of £32.8m before PSF, FRF and MRET and continues to forecast to achieve a full year deficit of £41.5m on the same basis. FIP delivery is expected to fall short of plan by £1m by the year end. The year-end forecast can only be achieved if further non-recurrent schemes are identified but plans are in place to identify and achieve those savings.

Other matters discussed by the committee

2.1 Mobile CT Contract

The committee approved the contract as it is part of NHSE's national cancer screening programme. The cost (£2.5m) will be met by NHSE. The plan will be rolled out over a number of years as the initiative is likely to reveal more early stage cancers which will put additional strain on the Trust.

The contract is subject to full approval by the Board.

2.2 BAF

The committee monitors two of the risks in the BAF – PR4 (Financial Sustainability) and PR6 (Strategic Partnerships).

Having considered the forecast financial outturn, continued deterioration in the underlying deficit and assurances received, the committee recommends that the PR4 risk rating is increased from 15 to 20 (likelihood of 4 moving from 3 and consequence of 5 unchanged).

The committee awaits a report from the risk committee on strategic partnerships particularly in light of the deterioration in financial performance across the system. It is likely that the risk rating will have to be increased once the report is available.

Changes proposed to PR4 by the committee have not been incorporated in the BAF yet as the change is subject to further discussion by the board. Some changes to the plans to improve control were made for PR4 and PR6.

2.3 ICS/ICP update

At month 8, the ICS reported a deficit ± 10.4 m worse than plan - this represented a worsening of ± 4.5 m in the month. Although the full year forecast deficit is expected to be slightly better than plan at month 8, the position will be subject to further review by the ICS organisations' CFOs.

2.4 Spend Comparison Service (Procurement)

The committee received a report from the Strategic Head of Procurement which compares the price paid for a 'basket' of products across NHS trusts.

The data show that Sherwood Forest ranks in the bottom 30 of all trusts and that there is therefore a significant opportunity to improve. However, the data (which are compiled by a third party) are misleading as not all trusts buy the same basket of products and special arrangements such as volume rebates paid retrospectively are not taken into account in the comparative data. Checks with other trusts have revealed a number of anomalies so the Strategic Head of Procurement is to assist with cleaning up the data and possibly suggesting an alternative approach as to how the data are compiled.

Until this work is completed the committee will not be able to assess with any degree of accuracy the opportunity to improve prices of bought in goods.

2.5 Electronic Prescribing and Medicines Administration (EPMA)

The committee considered the business case for EPMA and noted that the benefits mainly centre around patient safety rather than financial benefits. EPMA is part of a national initiative to improve the accuracy of prescribing and part of the funding for the project for SFH will be provided by NHSI for expenditure incurred in 2019/20 (£1.25m).

The committee approved the proposal but further approval by the Board is required in view of the size of the investment.

2.6 Terms of Reference

The committee approved some minor changes to its Terms of Reference and Workplan.

