

Quality Impact Assessm	nent - Transformation & Efficie	ncy Program	me										
Project Title			Project Overview:					Likelihood					
Project URN			d the Staff Clinical Psychology contract with NHCT and to h and Wellbeign team by 50% to consist of 0.8WTE band 6			Consequence	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost certain		
Completed by Clinical Lead: Name			only			5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25	Extreme risk	0
Completed by Clinical Lead: Date						4 Major	4	8	12	16	20	High risk	2
						3 Moderate	3	6	9	12	15	Moderate risk Low risk	2
Highest Individual score	9 QIA Stage	Stage 2				2 Minor 1 Negligible	2 1	2	6 3	8	10 5	LOWITSK	3
						R	lisk rating						
Impact Area	Brief description of potential impact	Impact Type	Initial Consequence score	Initial Likelihood score	Total risk score	Pos	ssible mitigation		Mitigated consequence score	Mitigated likelihood score	Mitigated risk score	Quality indicators	Actions taken when negative impact on quality (to be completed on review)
Patient safety		Does the proposal impact in a Positive, Neutral or Adverse way?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the impact of the risk occurring?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the likelihood of the risk occurring?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	Detail what action w	ill be taken to red impact.	duce any adverse	What is your adjusted consequence score post mitigation?	What is your adjusted likelihood score post mitigation?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	How will you measure the impact on quality? What can indicate a change in the quality? (to be completed for ALL impacts)	
					0						0		
Impact Area	Brief description of potential impact	Impact Type	Initial Consequence score	Initial Likelihood score	Total risk score	Pos	ssible mitigation		Mitigated consequence score	Mitigated likelihood score	Mitigated risk score	Quality indicators	Actions taken when negative impact on quality (to be completed on review)
Clinical effectiveness		Does the proposal impact in a Positive, Neutral or Adverse way?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the impact of the risk occurring?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the likelihood of the risk occurring?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	Detail what action will be taken to reduce any adverse impact.		What is your adjusted consequence score post mitigation?	What is your adjusted likelihood score post mitigation?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	How will you measure the impact on quality? What can indicate a change in the quality? (to be completed for ALL impacts)		
					0						0		
Impact Area	Brief description of potential impact	Impact Type	Initial Consequence score	Initial Likelihood score	Total risk score	Pos	ssible mitigation		Mitigated consequence score	Mitigated likelihood score	Mitigated risk score	Quality indicators	Actions taken when negative impact on quality (to be completed on review)
Patient experience		Does the proposal impact in a Positive, Neutral or Adverse way?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the impact of the risk occurring?	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the likelihood of the risk occurring?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	Detail what action w	ill be taken to red impact.	duce any adverse	What is your adjusted consequence score post mitigation?	What is your adjusted likelihood score post mitigation?	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	How will you measure the impact on quality? What can indicate a change in the quality? (to be completed for ALL impacts)	
	Staff with unsupported mental health issues man devlop performance or attendance issues leading to poor patient experience	y Adverse	3	2	6	Better signposting to I Work. Prioritisingcolls			3	1	3	Complaints data	

reduce harm occuring.



Quality Impact Assessment - Transformation & Efficiency Programme

Project Title	Project Overview:
Project URN	Proposal to end the Staff Clinical Psychology contract with NHCT and to reduce the Health and Wellbeign team by 50% to consist of 0.8WTE band 6
Completed by Clinical Lead: Name	only.
Completed by Clinical Lead: Date	

		Likelihood								
Consequence		1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost certain				
5	Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25				
4	Major	4	8	12	16	20				
3	Moderate	3	6	9	12	15				
2	Minor	2	4	6	8	10				
1	Negligible	1	2	3	4	5				

Extreme risk	0
High risk	2
Moderate risk	2
Low risk	3

Highest Individual score	9	QIA Stage	Stage 2	
mpact Area	Brief descri	iption of potential impact	Impact Type	Initial Consequence score

Area	Brief description of potential impact	Impact Type	Consequence	Initial Likelihood score	Total risk score	Possible mitigation	Mitigated consequence score	Mitigated likelihood score	Mitigated risk score
		impact in a Positive,	what is the impact of the risk occurring?	5 - what is the	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.	impact.	adjusted consequence	adjusted	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.
Staff Experience	Loss of 1-1 Assessment and Treatment for staff - Staff unable to access 1-1 intervention with clinical psychology, may result in staff sickness or reduced productivity at work.	Adverse	3	3	9	Better signposting to EAP/Primary Care servicesAccess to Work. Prioritisingcollaboration on preventative work to reduce harm occuring.	3	2	6
	Support for TRIM - Clinical Psychology will no longer be available for staff assessed through TRIM as at risk for PTSD	Adverse	4	1	4	Review TRiM process and consider outsourcing post traumatic event response with narrowed referral criteria	3	1	3
	Reflective Peer Support Spaces - relfective spaces will no longer be facilitated by a Clinical Psychologist	Adverse	2	4	8	Utilise alternative options for facilitation such as PNAs/PMAs	2	3	6

	Quality indicators	Actions taken when negative impact on quality (to be completed on review)
	How will you measure the impact on quality? What can indicate a change in the quality? (to be completed for ALL impacts)	
	Sickness Absence Levels, Staff Turnover, Staff Survey Results	
	Datix, Sickness Absence Levels	
	Sickness Absence Levels, Staff Turnover, Staff Survey Results, Thinking of Moving conversations, Exit Interviews	

Impact Area	Brief description of potential impact	Impact Type	Initial Consequence score	Initial Likelihood score	Total risk score		Mitigated consequence score	Mitigated likelihood score	Mitigated risk score
	questions which need to be considered.	impact in a Positive,	On a scale of 1 to 5 - what is the impact of the risk occurring?		rating on the matrix	impact.	adjusted consequence	adjusted	Corresponding total rating on the matrix above.
					0				0

Quality indicators	Actions taken when negative impact on quality (to be completed on review)
How will you measure the impact on quality? What can indicate a change in the quality? (to be completed for ALL impacts)	

- 1) what issues, needs or barriers could the protected characteristic groups experience? For example, are there any known health inequality or access issues to consider?
- 2) What is already in place in the policy or its implementation to address any inequalities or barriers to access including under representation at clinics, screening?
- 3) Please state any barriers that still need to be addressed and any proposed actions to eliminate inequality

Maximum risk score (overall)	9
------------------------------	---

	Date	Comments
Approval and comments -		
Divisional General Manager		
Divisional Clinical Chair		
Divisional Head of Nursing		

Equality & Diversity Protected

Characteristics:

Race & Ethnicity Gender Age Religion Disability

Sexuality Pregnancy & Maternity Gender Reassignment Marriage & Civil Partnership

Socio - Economic Factors (i.e. Living in a poorer neighbourhood / social deprivation)

Example quality indicators

Infection rate e.g MRSA

Staff satisfaction/ safety

Medication errors Slips, trips and falls Adverse events e.g SUIs Mortality rate Average length of stay Patient satisfaction (discharge survey) Patient complaints Waiting times Sickness and absence levels Turnover rate