

**Partnership and Communities Committee Chair's Highlight Report to the Trust Board**

<b>Subject:</b>	Partnership and Communities Highlight Report	<b>Date:</b>	21 <sup>st</sup> January 2025
<b>Prepared By:</b>	Barbara Brady, Non-Executive Director/Chair		
<b>Approved By:</b>	Barbara Brady, Non-Executive Director/Chair		
<b>Presented By:</b>	Barbara Brady, Non-Executive Director/Chair		
<b>Purpose:</b>	Assurance report to the Board of Directors		

<b>Matters of Concern or Key Risks Escalated for Noting / Action</b>	<b>Major Actions Commissioned / Work Underway</b>
<p>At a system level some services which are bring delivered collaboratively are being subjected to a review process with little detail or understanding how decisions will be made in the context of system impacts e.g. MSK</p> <p>Transformational change in the system is currently not evident in plans, particularly concerning in the light of our need to achieve sustainability</p> <p>Continuing concerns regarding resources to support collaboration and governance arrangements e.g. Nottinghamshire Healthier Together</p>	<p>Plan of work for Health Inequalities agreed and scheduled for feedback at April's committee meeting</p> <p>Ongoing development of the Partnership canvas which is seeking to capture and understand all the partnerships the Trust is currently involved with. The next version will include explicit cross reference to the 10 yr plan</p> <p>Revision of terms of reference to ensure these capture how each of the strategic objectives are supported by collaboration/partnership work</p>
<b>Positive Assurances to Provide</b>	<b>Decisions Made (include BAF review outcomes)</b>
<p>Partnership approach to sustainable Stroke services</p> <p>Clear priorities for Health inequalities work and support plan of action</p> <p>Partnership plan development</p> <p>Role of SFHT as Anchor organisation particularly with regard to workforce/people aspects</p>	<p>Approval of the BAF with no changes recommended to the score for PR6</p>

### Comments on effectiveness of the meeting

Good discussion enabled by papers

### Items recommended for consideration by other Committees

People/workforce aspects of Anchor work

### Progress with Actions

Number of actions considered at the meeting - 3

Number of actions closed at the meeting – 3

Number of actions carried forward - 0

Any concerns with progress of actions – No

If Yes, please describe –

***Note: this report does not require a cover sheet due to sufficient information provided.***